

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/544,665 04/06/00 CINES D 9596-6701 **EXAMINER** 009629 HM12/0926 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS <u>GUPTA, A</u> 1800 M STREET NW **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON DC 20036-5869 1653

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

09/26/01

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | |
| | 09/544,665 Examin r | CINES ET AL. |
| | Anish Gupta | 1653 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | · | <u> </u> |
| Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, 17-20, drawn to a composition comprising a peptide and method of using the peptide in affecting biological process.

Group II, claim(s) 1, 11-13, drawn to a method of clearance of scuPA.

Group III, claim(s) 1, 14-16, drawn to a method of impeding pathological migration.

Group IV, claim(s) 21-22, drawn to composition in combination with a thrombolytic agent.

Group V, claim(s) 23-24, drawn to composition in combination with an anti-coagulating agent.

Note that claim 1 has been included in Group II-III since the method of Group II-III utilize the peptide of Group I. If Applicants elect Group II or III, these claims will be examined to the extent they read on the claimed method and not the composition.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The MPEP states "The MPEP states that if the independent claim does not avoid the prior art, then the question whether there is still an inventive link between all the claims dependent on that claim needs to be carefully considered." MPEP 1849. Here, there the claims lack an special technical feature since the peptides of Group I can be utilized materially different process than claimed in Group II or Group III such as detecting anti-hepatitis C virus antibodies, as taught by Habets et al. (WO 9313127).

The composition of Group I, IV and V are distinct in that the composition of Group I does not utilize a thrombolytic agent or an anti-coagulant agent. Further, the thrombolytic agent and the anti-coagulant used are structurally dissimilar and thus the Group lack a technical relationship.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The peptides corresponding to the generic formula X1-X8.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species, namely a single disclosed peptide corresponding to the X1-X8 formula, to which the claims of Group I-V shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
 - The following claim(s) are generic: 1-24
- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species are structurally distinct from one another.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Application/Control Number: 09/544,665

Art Unit: 1653

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Anish Gupta whose telephone number is (703) 308-4001. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can normally be reached on (703)308-2923. The fax phone

number of this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Christopher S.a. Low

Anish Gupta

25 Sep 2001

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600